

Copyright © The Ohio Academy of Science 2017, 2018. All rights reserved. No edits or other modifications may be made in the judging criteria without the express written permission of The Ohio Academy of Science. Distribution and reproduction for educational purposes is permitted provided this notice is not removed. [The following space may be used for students' names, space assignment, project title or other administrative information.]

SCIENCE PROJECT JUDGING CRITERIA

POINTS BULLETS DO NOT HAVE A PRE-DETERMINED NUMERICAL VALUE.

1. KNOWLEDGE ACHIEVED (10 points maximum)

- Correct use and understanding of terms and principles
- Project exceeds classroom level for the student's grade level based upon the judge's opinion
- Adequate depth of knowledge
- Literature search: extent of scientific, engineering or medical journals /sources or just popular literature citations
- Supplements answers with additional relevant information

2. USES OF SCIENTIFIC METHOD (10 points maximum)

- Experimental design: specific problem or question, clearly stated hypothesis or technological design statement; clear method(s) with correctly defined and measured variables and controls; sufficient understanding of methods from related studies in the literature
- Data handling, data tables, graphs, statistics; sufficient number of trials or samples for the problem
- Valid conclusion(s) or discussion of results
- Well-documented lab journal/data record book

3. CLARITY OF EXPRESSION (10 points maximum)

- Abstract with clear statement of results
- Written report: unambiguous title, organization, results, correct grammar and spelling, citations, references
- Visual display: neatness, conveys essence of the idea, hypothesis or design statement, results and conclusion(s)
- Oral presentation: understanding or from memory; questions answered correctly and clearly

4. ORIGINALITY & CREATIVITY (10 points maximum)

- New idea, concept, principle, hypothesis, insight or non-obvious approach or problem definition
- Novel association or relationship of previous discoveries or knowledge
- Rigorous and exhaustive analyses of extensive or robust data or results that reveal previously unknown relations
- Inquiry or design-based rather than a summary of knowledge

5. TEAMWORK subtotal points (10 points maximum)

- All members have shown active participation and understanding of the entire project
- Team members participate equally in presentation
- Individual expertise or contributions are explained
- All team members participate in correctly and clearly answering questions

TOTAL POINTS

CIRCLE RATING: Superior Excellent Good Satisfactory

Minimum score for **TEAM** projects at Local or District Science Days: SUPERIOR: 45 points, EXCELLENT: 30 points, GOOD: 15 points, *SATISFACTORY: 5 points (*not used at State Science Day). Minimum score for State Science Day: SUPERIOR: 45 points, EXCELLENT: 30 points, GOOD: 15 points

JUDGE'S Printed Name _____ Signature _____

JUDGES MUST ADD COMMENTS ON BACK: Please add your comments about the project. Students especially look for constructive criticism to improve the project for future science days.

COMMENTS FROM JUDGE:

Please provide appropriate praise, constructive criticism and suggestions for improvement.

1. KNOWLEDGE ACHIEVED

2. USES OF SCIENTIFIC METHOD

3. CLARITY OF EXPRESSION

4. ORIGINALITY & CREATIVITY

5. TEAMWORK
